

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/054,957	01/25/2002		Theodore W. Houston	TI-25900.1	9230	
23494	7590	12/01/2003		EXAMINER		
TEXAS INS	TRUME	NTS INCORPOR	THOMAS, TONIAE M			
P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DALLAS, TX 75265			2822			

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

		MC
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/054,957	HOUSTON ET A	
Examin r	Art Unit	
Toniae M. Thomas	2822	

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 09 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.

condition	n for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued attion (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
a) 🔲	The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) 🛚	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
have been f 37 CFR 1.1 (b) above, if	sions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 7(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in f checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any ent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
	Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
2. Th	ne proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
(a)	they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) 🗆	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
(c) [they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) 🗆	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE:
3.□ Ap	oplicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
	ewly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment anceling the non-allowable claim(s).
	ne a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the oplication in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
	e affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly ised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
	or purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)□ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
Th	e status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
CI	laim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .
CI	laim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> .
CI	laim(s) rejected: <u>10 and 25</u> .
CI	laim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>9,11,24 and 26</u> .
8. Th	e drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
9. No	ote the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
10. <u> </u>	ther: AVIIR ZARABIAN
	State

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's arguments are not persuasive. The specification does not provide support for the limitation "said channel region having an implanted one of a positive or negative Vt dopant intermediate the source/drain regions and having an implanted one of a negative or positive Vt dopant adjacent the source/drain regions, the opposite of said dopant in said channel region, " as recited in claim 10.